This checklist incorporates references to both 'The NELAC Institute' 2003 and 2009 Standards, where applicable. The 2009 reference is in brackets.

Directions: Place a mark (e.g., /, $\sqrt{}$ or X) in the appropriate column (Yes (Y), No (N), or Not Applicable (NA)). If it is an observation on areas for possible improvement, place a mark under the Suggestion (S) column. In database, use code "SGST."

Lab ID:	Assessment ID:
Lab Name:	
If the information on the "Lab Pre-Assessilab will need to formally request the change	nent Report" is NOT accurate, note the changes that need to be made below. In addition, the using Application Form 107.
Address (Mailing):	
Address (Physical Location):	
Telephone:	
E-mail:	
	narked 'yes' indicates that no evidence of a deficiency was observed. Assessor (Signature):
If this was a team assessment, indicate the	Lead Assessor's name.

Chemical Testing Detailed Method Review (Prep and Determinative Methods)	Deficiency Code	Comments
Method Number:		
SOP Number:		
Rev.:		
SOP date:		
Personnel records observed:		
Data records observed:		
Method Number:		
SOP Number:		
Rev.:		
SOP date:		
Personnel records observed:		
Data records observed:		
Method Number:		
SOP Number:		
Rev.:		
SOP date:		
Personnel records observed:		
Data records observed:		
Method Number:		
SOP Number:		
Rev.:		
SOP date:		
Personnel records observed:		
Data records observed:		

Page 2 of 17

Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Mumber: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number:	Chemical Testing Detailed Method Review (Prep and Determinative Methods)	Deficiency Code	Comments
SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	Method Number:		
SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	SOP Number:		
Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:			
Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Data records observed:			
Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	Personnel records observed:		
SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	Data records observed:		
Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	Method Number:		
SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	SOP Number:		
Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:			
Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:			
Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	Personnel records observed:		
SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	Data records observed:		
Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:	Method Number:		
SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed:			
Personnel records observed: Data records observed:			
Data records observed:			
	Personnel records observed:		
Method Number:	Data records observed:		
	Method Number:		
SOP Number:			
Rev.:			
SOP date:			
Personnel records observed:	Personnel records observed:		
Data records observed:	Data records observed:		

(Prep and Determinative Methods) Method Number:	
Method Number:	
SOP Number:	
Rev.:	
SOP date:	
Personnel records observed:	
Data records observed:	
Method Number:	
SOP Number:	
Rev.:	
SOP date:	
Personnel records observed:	
Data records observed:	
Method Number:	
SOP Number:	
Rev.:	
SOP date:	
Personnel records observed:	
Data records observed:	
Method Number:	
SOP Number:	
Rev.:	
SOP date:	
Personnel records observed:	
Data records observed:	

Method Number: Rev.: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP Mate: Personnel records observed:	Chemical Testing Detailed Method Review (Prep and Determinative Methods)	Deficiency Code	Comments
SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:	Method Number:		
SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: SOP Number:	SOP Number:		
Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:			
Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP Mate: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:			
Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: SOP Number: SOP Number: SOP Number: SOP Number:	Personnel records observed:		
SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Mumber: SOP Mumber:	Data records observed:		
Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Mumber: SOP Mumber:	Method Number:		
SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:	SOP Number:		
Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:			
Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:			
Method Number: SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:	Personnel records observed:		
SOP Number: Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:	Data records observed:		
Rev.: SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:	Method Number:		
SOP date: Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:			
Personnel records observed: Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:			
Data records observed: Method Number: SOP Number:			
Method Number: SOP Number:	Personnel records observed:		
SOP Number:	Data records observed:		
SOP Number:	Method Number:		
Pay :			
	Rev.:		
SOP date:			
Personnel records observed:	Personnel records observed:		
Data records observed:	Data records observed:		

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	s	Codes	Comments
Does the laboratory demonstrate that it meets all requirements contained	5.1.1					000d11	
in a mandated test method or by regulation, even if the requirement is	[M2,5.9.3(c)]						
more stringent than the corresponding NELAC standard? (If it is unclear	[,0.0.0(0/]						
which requirements are more stringent, the standard from the method of							
regulation shall be followed)							
Has the lab employed any method options that should be noted?						5101a	
Note: ELAP will not be citing usage of method options as a deficiency, but							
are tracking the usage. This is applicable to "SIM" methods [DW							
Microextractables (524.3), SW low level PAHs (8270), and NW low level							
polynuclear aromatics (8270)]							
Are the quality control protocols specified by the laboratory 's method	D					000d12	
manual followed by all analysts?	[M2,5.9.3(d)]						
Are all essential quality control measures incorporated in the lab 's	D					000d13	
method manual?	[M2, 5.9.3(c)]						
Are all quality control measures assessed and evaluated on an on-going	D					000d14	
basis and is quality control acceptance criteria used to determine the	[M2,5.9.3(b)]						
validity of the data?							
Does the laboratory have procedures for developing acceptance/rejection	D					000d15	
criteria for each test where no method or regulatory criteria exist?	[M2,5.9.3(c)]						
	METHOD BLAN	١K					
Is the method blank processed along with and under the same conditions	D.1.1.1.a					000d16	
as the associated samples including all steps of the analytical procedure?	[M4,1.7.3.1(a)]						
Are procedures in place to determine if a method blank is contaminated?	D.1.1.1.a					00D111a	
	[M4,1.7.3.1(a)]						
Are any affected samples associated with a contaminated blank	D.1.1.1.a					000d17+	
reprocessed for analysis or are the results reported with appropriate data	[M4,1.7.3.1(a)]						
qualifying codes?	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
Is a method blank performed such as	D.1.1.1.b					000d18a	
a one per preparation batch, per matrix type; or	[M4,1.7.3.1(b)]						
b in those instances for which there is no separate preparation method,							
is the batch defined as environmental samples that are analyzed together							
with the same method and personnel, using the same lots of reagents, not							
to exceed the analysis of 20 environmental samples?							
Does the method blank consist of a matrix that is similar to the associated	D.1.1.1.c					000d19	

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	s	Codes	Comments
samples and is known to be free of the analytes of interest?	[M4,1.7.3.1(c)]						
Is each method blank critically evaluated as to the nature of the	D.1.1.1.d					00d110	
interference and the effect on the analysis of each sample within the batch?	[M4,1.7.4.1]						
Is the source of contamination investigated and measures taken to minimize or eliminate the problem and the affected samples reprocessed? a Is data appropriately qualified if: 1. The concentration of a targeted analyte in the blank is at or above the reporting limit as established by the test method or by regulation, AND is greater than 1/10 of the amount measured in any sample, and 2. The blank contamination affects the sample results as per the test method requirements or the individual project data quality objectives?	D.1.1.1.d.1-2 [M4,1.7.4.1]					00d111	
When a blank is determined to be contaminated, does the laboratory	D.1.1.1.d.3					D111d3	
investigate the cause and take measures to minimize or eliminate the problem?	[M4,1.7.4.1(c)]					Dillas	
Does the laboratory evaluate samples associated with a contaminated blank as to the best corrective action for the samples (e.g. reprocessing or data qualifying codes) and is the corrective action documented?	D.1.1.1.d.3 [M4,1.7.4.1(c)]					D111d31+	
	RATORY CONTROL S	SAMPI	LE (L	CS)	1		
Is the LCS used to evaluate the performance of the total analytical system including all preparation and analysis steps?	D.1.1.2.1.a [M4,1.7.3.2.1]					00d112a	
Is an LCS (a sample matrix free of analytes of interest spiked with a verified known amount of analyte) performed at a frequency of: a one per preparation batch, per matrix type (except for analytes for	D.1.1.2.1.b [M4,1.7.3.2.2]					00d112	
which spiking solutions are not available); or b in those instances for which there is no separate preparation method, is the batch defined as environmental samples that are analyzed together with the same method and personnel, using the same lots of reagents, not to exceed the analysis of 20 environmental samples?						00d112b	
If the matrix spike is used as the LCS, is the acceptance criteria as stringent as the LCS?	D.1.1.2.1.c [M4,1.7.3.2.3]					00d113	
Are the components spiked those that are specified by the mandated test method or other regulatory requirement or as requested by the client, except for those circumstances in D.1-15, below?						00d114	
a Are surrogates and matrix spike compounds added to the sample						00d114a	

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	s	Codes	Comments
after the drying agents have been added?	-						
Note: For SW-846 Organic Extraction methods, adding spiked compounds prior to mixing with drying agents can cause major recovery issues.							
In the absence of specified spiking components, does the laboratory spike per the following: a For those components that interfere with an accurate assessment such as spiking simultaneously with technical chlordane, toxaphene and PCBs, is the spike chosen so that it represents the chemistries and elution patterns of the components to be reported?	D.1.1.2.1.c [M4,1.7.3.2.3(a)] D.1.1.2.1.c [M4,1.7.3.2.3(b)]					0d115a	
b For those test methods that have extremely long lists of analytes, is a representative number chosen as below? 1 Are the analytes selected that representative of all analytes reported	D.1.1.2.1.c [M4,1.7.3.2.3(b)] D.1.1.2.1.c.1 [M4,1.7.3.2.3(b)(i)]					d115b1	
Is the following criteria used for determining the minimum number of analytes to be spiked: a) laboratory ensures that all targeted components are included in the spike mixture over a 2 year period	D.1.1.2.1.c.2 [M4,1.7.3.2.3(b)(ii)] D.1.1.2.1.c.3 [M4,1.7.3.2.3(b)(iii)]					d115b2a	
b) For methods that include 1-10 targets, all components are spiked.						d115b2b	
c) For methods that include 11-20 targets, at least 10 or 80% are spiked, whichever is greater.						d115b2c	
d) For methods with more than 20 targets, at least 16 components are spiked?						d115b2d	
Are the results of individual batch LCS calculated in percent recovery?	D.1.1.2.1.d [M4,1.7.4.2(a)]					00d116	
Does the laboratory document the calculation for percent recovery?	D.1.1.2.1.d [M4,1.7.4.2(a)]					00d117	
Is the individual LCS compared to the acceptance criteria: a as published in the mandated test method; b where there are no established criteria, does the laboratory determine	D.1.1.2.1.d [M4,1.7.4.2(a)]					00d117a	

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	S	Codes	Comments
internal criteria and document the method used to establish the limits; or							
c utilize client specified assessment criteria?							
Are samples analyzed along with a LCS determined to be 'out of control'	D.1.1.2.1.d					0d117b+	
a considered suspect and the samples reprocessed and re-analyzed; or	[M4,1.7.4.2(a)]						
b is the data reported with appropriate qualifying codes?							
Are the number of allowable marginal exceedences determined as	D.1.1.2.1.e						
follows?	[M4,1.7.4.2(b)]					LCS90	
□ >90 analytes in LCS, no more than 5 analytes allowed in ME of the						LCS71	
LCS control limit						LCS51	
☐ 71-90 analytes in LCS, no more than 4 analytes allowed in ME of the LCS control limit						LCS31 LCS11	
□ 51-70 analytes in LCS, no more than 3 analytes allowed in ME of the LCS control limit						LCS10	
□ 31-50 analytes in LCS, no more than 2 analytes allowed in ME of the							
LCS control limit							
☐ 11-30 analytes in LCS, no more than 1 analytes allowed in ME of the							
LCS control limit							
<11 analytes in LCS, no analytes allowed in ME of the LCS control							
limit	D4404					D4404-4	
Are the LCS marginal exceedences random?	D.1.1.2.1.e	+			1	D1121e1	
If the same analyte exceeds the LCS control limit repeatedly, it is an	D.1.1.2.1.e					D1121e2	
indication of a systemic problem. Is the source of the error located and corrective action taken?	[M4,1.7.4.2(b)]						
Do laboratories have a written procedure to monitor the application of	D.1.1.2.1.e					D1121e3	
marginal exceedence allowance to the LCS?	[M4,1.7.4.2(b)]					Dilzies	
Does the laboratory document procedures for determining the effect of the	D.1.1.3					00d118	
sample matrix on method performance?						000110	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[M4,1.7.3.3] D.1.1.3					00D113a	
Do these procedures relate to the analyses of matrix specific QC samples						UUDTT3a	
and are they designed as data indicators for a specific sample using the designated test method?	[M4,1.7.3.3]						
Does the laboratory have procedures in place for tracking, managing, and	D.1.1.3					00d119	
handling matrix specific QC criteria including spiking appropriate	[M4,1.7.3.3]						
components at appropriate concentrations, calculating recoveries and							
relative percent difference, evaluating and reporting results based on							
performance of the QC samples?							
Is the frequency of the analysis of matrix specific samples followed as	D.1.1.3.1.b					00d120	

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	S	Codes	Comments
specified by the method or determined as part of the contract review process?	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(b)]						
Are the components spiked those specified by the mandated test method,	D.1.1.3.1.c					00d121	
where applicable?	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(c)]						
Are any permit specified analytes, as specified by regulation or client	D.1.1.3.1.c					00d122	
requested analytes also included?	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(c)]						
If there are no specified components, does the laboratory spike per the							
following:	D.1.1.3.1.c						
a For those components that interfere with an accurate assessment	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(c)]					0d123a	
such as spiking simultaneously with technical chlordane, toxaphene and							
PCBs, is the spike chosen which represents the chemistries and elution							
patterns of the components to be reported?	D 4 4 0 4 4					440064	
b For those test methods that have extremely long lists of analytes,	D.1.1.3.1.c.1					d123b1	
are all analytes used, or are a representative number chosen using the	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(c)(i)]						
following criteria: 1. For methods that include 1-10 targets, spike all components;	D.1.1.3.1.c.2						
1. For methods that include 1-10 targets, spike all components,	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(c)(ii)]					d123b2	
2.For methods that include 11-20 targets, spike at least 10 or 80%,	[1014, 1.7.0.0.1(0)(11)]					4.12002	
whichever is greater; and							
and the second of the second o	D.1.1.3.1.c.3						
3. For methods with more than 20 targets, spike at least 16 components?	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(c)(iii)]					d123b3	
Does the laboratory include all targeted components in the spike mixture	D.1.1.3.1.c					00d123	
over a 2 year period?	[M4,1.7.3.3.1(c)]						
MA	ATRIX SPIKES AND DU	JPLIC	ATES	;		U	
Is the matrix spike used to assess the precision and accuracy of analytical	D.1.1.3.1.d					00d125	
results in a given matrix and are they expressed as percent recovery (%R)	[M4,1.7.4.3(a)]						
and relative percent difference (RPD)?							
Does the laboratory document the calculation for relative percent	D.1.1.3.1.d					00d126	
difference?	[M4,1.7.4.3(a)]						
Are the results compared to the acceptance criteria in the mandated test	D.1.1.3.1.d					00d127	
method when published?	[M4,1.7.4.3(a)]						
Where there are no established criteria, does the laboratory determine	D.1.1.3.1.d					00d128	
internal criteria and document the method used to establish the limits?	[M4,1.7.4.3(a)]						
For matrix spike results outside established criteria, is corrective action	D.1.1.3.1.d					00d176	
documented or is the data reported with appropriate data qualifying	[M4,1.7.4.3(a)]						

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	S	Codes	Comments
codes?							
Are matrix duplicates defined as replicate aliquots of the same sample	D.1.1.3.2.a					0d1132a	
taken through the entire analytical procedure?	[M4,1.7.3.3.2(a)]						
Do the results from the analysis of matrix duplicates indicate the precision	D.1.1.3.2.a					d1132a1	
of the results for the specific sample using the selected method?	[M4,1.7.3.3.2(a)]						
Is the frequency of the analysis of matrix duplicates determined as part of	D.1.1.3.2.b					00d177	
a systematic planning process (e.g. Data Quality Objectives) or as	[M4,1.7.3.3.2(b)]						
specified by the mandated test method?							
Are matrix duplicates performed on replicate aliquots of actual sample?	D.1.1.3.2.c					00d178	
	[M4,1.7.3.3.2(c)]						
Are the results from matrix duplicates primarily designed to assess the	D.1.1.3.2.d					00d179	
precision of analytical results in a given matrix and are they expressed as	[M4,1.7.4.3(b)]						
relative percent difference (RPD) or another statistical treatment (e.g., absolute differences)?							
Does the laboratory document the calculation for relative percent	D.1.1.3.2.d					00d180	+
difference or other statistical treatments?	[M4,1.7.4.3(b)]					000100	
Are the results compared to the method acceptance criteria as published	D.1.1.3.2.d					00d181	
in the mandated test method?	[M4,1.7.4.3(b)]					Journal	
Where there are no established criteria, does the laboratory determine	D.1.1.3.2.d					00d182	
internal criteria and document the method used to establish the limits?	[M4,1.7.4.3(b)]						
For matrix duplicates results outside established criteria, is corrective	D.1.1.3.2.d					00d183	
action documented or is the data reported with appropriate data qualifying	[M4,1.7.4.3(b)]						
codes?	. , , 2						
Are surrogate compounds added to all samples, standards, and blanks,	D.1.1.3.3.b					00d184	
whenever possible, for all organic chromatography methods?	[M4,1.7.3.3.3(b)]						
Are surrogate compounds chosen for being unlikely to occur as	D.1.1.3.3.c					0d1133c	
environmental contaminants and to represent the various chemistries of	[M4,1.7.3.3.3(c)]						
the target analytes in the method?	5 4 4 9 9 1						
Are the results of surrogate recoveries compared to the acceptance criteria	D.1.1.3.3.d					00d185	
published in the mandated test method?	[M4,1.7.4.3(c)]					00.1400	
Where there are no established criteria, does the laboratory determine	D.1.1.3.3.d					00d186	
internal criteria and document the method used to establish the limits?	[M4,1.7.4.3(c)]					004407	-
Are surrogates outside the acceptance criteria evaluated for the effect indicated for the individual sample results?	D.1.1.3.3.d					00d187	
' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	[M4,1.7.4.3(c)]					00-1400	-
Is the appropriate corrective action guided by the data quality objectives or	D.1.1.3.3.d					00d188	

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	s	Codes	Comments
other site specific requirements?	[M4,1.7.4.3(c)]						
Are results reported from analyses with surrogate recoveries outside the	D.1.1.3.3.d					00d189+	
acceptance criteria with appropriate data qualifiers?	[M4,1.7.4.3(c)]						
	INSTRUMENT CALIB	RATIC	N	1	1	-1	1
Are sample results quantitated from the initial instrument calibration and	5.5.5.2.2					00d129	
not from any continuing instrument calibration verification?	5.5.5.2.2.1.c						
	[M4,1.7.1.1(c)]						
Is the continuing instrument calibration verification used to confirm the						00d130	
continued validity of the initial calibration?	5.5.5.2.2						
	[M4,1.7.2]						
Do the SOPs or the test method SOP reference the details of the initial						00d131	
calibration procedures, including calculations integrations, and acceptance	5.5.5.2.2.1.a						
criteria associated statistics?	[M4,1.7.1.1(b)]						
Are sufficient raw data records retained to permit reconstruction of the							
initial and continuing calibration including:	5.5.5.2.2.1.b						
a Calibration date,	5.5.5.10					0d132a	
bTest method,	[M4,1.7.1.1(b)]					0d132b	
c Instrument,						0d132c	
d Analysis date,						0d132d	
eEach analyte name,						0d132e	
f Concentration,						0d132f 0d132g	
gResponse,						0d132g 0d132h	
h Calibration curve or response factor, I Analyst's initials or signature, and						0d132ii	
Unique equation or coefficient used to reduce instrument response						0d132j	
to concentration?						04.02	
Are all initial calibration verification standards traceable to a national	5.5.5.2.2.1.d					00d133a	
standard, when commercially available?	[M4,1.7.1.1(d)]					0001338	
Are all initial calibrations verified with a standard obtained from a second	5.5.5.2.2.1.d					00d133	
source manufacturer or lot if the lot can be demonstrated from the	[M4,1.7.1.1(d)]					000100	
manufacturer as prepared independently from other lots?	, (-,)						
Is the criteria for the acceptance of an initial calibration established	5.5.5.2.2.1.e					00d134	
(correlation coefficient or relative percent difference) and appropriate to	[M4,1.7.1.1(e)]						
the calibration technique employed?							
Is the lowest calibration standard the lowest concentration for which	5.5.5.2.2.1.f					555221f	
quantitative data are to be reported (see Appendix C.)? Any data reported	[M4,1.7.1.1(f)]						

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	S	Codes	Comments
below the lower limit of quantitation should be considered to have an							
increased quantitative uncertainty and shall be reported using defined							
qualifiers or flags or explained in the case narrative.							
Is the highest calibration standard the highest concentration for which	5.5.5.2.2.1g					555221g	
quantitative data are to be reported (see Appendix C.)? Any data reported	[M4,1.7.1.1(g)]						
above this highest standard should be considered to have an increased							
quantitative uncertainty and shall be reported using defined qualifiers or							
flags or explained in the case narrative.						22.142.5	
If the results of samples are not bracketed by the initial calibration, are the	5.5.5.2.2.1.h					00d135	
results reported as having less certainty (defined qualifiers, flags, or	[M4,1.7.1.1(f)(g)]						
explanation in the case narrative)?	5550041					00.1400	
Is the lowest calibration standard of the initial calibration above the	5.5.5.2.2.1.h					00d136	
detection limit?	5550041)44						
For ICP and/or ICP-MS does the following occur?	5.5.5.2.2.1.h)1-4					EEE004f-	
1.) Prior to the analysis of samples the zero point/single point calibration	[M4,1.7.1.1(h)(i)-(iv)]					555221fa	
must be analyzed and the linear range must be established by analyzing a							
series of standards, one of which must be at the lowest quantitation level, (results within the established linear range shall not require data qualifier							
flags)							
2.) the zero point/single point calibration must be analyzed with each						555221fb	
analytical batch,							
3.) a standard corresponding to the limit of quantitation must be							
analyzed with each analytical batch and must meet established						555221fc	
acceptance criteria, and							
4.) The linearity is verified at a frequency established by the method							
and/or the manufacturer.						555221fd	
Are corrective actions performed if the results of the initial calibration are	5.5.5.2.2.1.i					00d137	
outside of established acceptance criteria?	[M4,1.7.1.1(i)]						
Is data associated with unacceptable initial instrument calibration not	5.5.5.2.2.1.i					00d138+	
reported?	[M4,1.7.1.1(i)]						
Does the laboratory have an SOP for determining the number of points for	5.5.5.2.2.1.j					00d140	
establishing the initial calibration?							

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	S	Codes	Comments
If a reference or mandated method does not specify the number of	5.5.5.2.2.1.j					00d141	
calibration standards, is the minimum number used 2 (3 in 2009 standard),	[M4,1.7.1.1(j)]						
not including a blank or zero standard?							
When an initial calibration is not performed on the day of analysis, does	5.5.5.10					00d142	
the laboratory verify the validity of the initial calibration prior to the analysis	5.5.5.10.3						
of samples by analyzing a continuing instrument calibration verification sample?	[M4,1.7.2]						
Are the details of the continuing instrument calibration procedure,	5.5.5.10.a					00d143	
calculations, and associated statistics included or referenced in the test method SOP?	[M4,1.7.2(a)]						
Is the calibration verified for each compound, element, or other discrete	5.5.5.10.b					55510b	
chemical species, except for multi-component analytes such as Aroclors,	[M4,1.7.2(b)]						
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons, or Toxaphene where a representative							
chemical related substance or mixture can be used?							
Is a continuing instrument calibration verification performed	5.5.5.10.c.1-4					00.14.44	
1 at the beginning and end of each analytical batch; (If an internal	[M4,1.7.2(c)(i)-(iii)]					00d144	
standard is used, only one continuing calibration verification must be							
analyzed per analytical batch) 2 whenever it is expected that the analytical system may be out of						00d1442	
calibration or might not meet verification acceptance criteria;						0001772	
3 if the time period for calibration or the most previous calibration						00d1443	
verification has expired; or							
4 for analytical systems that contain a CCV requirement?						00d1444	
Do the continuing calibration verification records explicitly connect the	5.5.5.10.d					00d146	
continuing verification data to the initial instrument calibration?	[M4,1.7.2(d)]						
Does the laboratory have established acceptance criteria of a continuing	5.5.5.10.e					00d147	
calibration verification analysis? (e.g. relative percent difference)	[M4,1.7.2(e)]						
Are routine corrective actions performed if the results of the continuing	5.5.5.10.e					00d148	
calibration verifications are outside of established acceptance criteria?	[M4,1.7.2(e)]						
If corrective action fails to produce an acceptable second consecutive	5.5.5.10.e			1		00d149	
(immediate) calibration verification, does the lab either perform a new	[M4,1.7.2(e)]						
initial calibration or analyze 2 consecutive acceptable calibration	-						
verifications before analyzing new samples?							
When sample data associated with a failed calibration verification is	5.5.5.10.e					00d150+	
reported, does the laboratory qualify the data. (Sec. 5.5.5.10.e NELAC	[M4,1.7.2(e)]						

	NELAC Reference						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	[2009]	Υ	N	N/A	S	Codes	Comments
2003)							
If there was a high bias and there is a failed continuing calibration verification, is only data associated with samples that are non-detects reported? (Other affected samples are reanalyzed after a new curve has been established, evaluated, and accepted.)	5.5.5.10.e.i [M4,1.7.2(e)(i)]					00d151+	
If there was a low bias and there is a failed continuing calibration verification, is only data associated with samples that have a result greater than the maximum regulatory limit/decision level reported? (Other affected samples are reanalyzed after a new curve has been established, evaluated, and accepted.)	5.5.5.10.e.i [M4,1.7.2(e)(ii)]					00d152+	
Does the laboratory utilize test methods that provide an LOD that is appropriate and relevant for the intended use of the data? (An LOD is not required for a test method when test results are not reported outside the calibration range.)	D.1.2.1 [M4,1.5.2.1]					00d154	
Does the laboratory use an LOD that is determined by the protocol in the mandated test method or applicable regulation?	D.1.2.1 [M4,1.5.2.1]					00d155	
If the protocol for determining LOD is not specified, does the selection made by the laboratory reflect instrument limitations and the intended application of the test method?	D.1.2.1 [M4,1.5.2.1]					00d156	
Is the LOD initially determined in a matrix free of target analytes or interferences or in the matrix of interest?	D.1.2.1.a [M4,1.5.2.1(d)] [M4,1.5.2.1(a)]					00d157	
Are detection limits determined each time there is a significant change in the test method or instrument type?	D.1.2.1.b [M4,1.5.2.1(e)]					00d158	
Are all procedures used to determine detection limits documented including the matrix type and is all supporting data retained?	D.1.2 [M4,1.5.2]					00d160	
Does the laboratory have established procedures relate LOD with LOQ?	D.1.2.1.c					00d161	
Is the LOD verified annually for each quality system matrix, method and analyte according to the procedure specified in section C.3 of the 2003NELAC standard and Module 4, section 1.5.2.1 of the 2009 NELEC standard	D.1.2.1.d [M4,1.5.2.1(f)]					00d12d	
Are the test methods LOQ established and above the LOD?	D.1.2.2.a [M4,1.5.2.2(d)]					0d161a	
Is the LOQ verified annually for each quality matrix, method and analyte according to the procedure specified in section C.3 of the 2003NELEC	D.1.2.2.b [M4,1.5.2.2(e)]					0d122b	

D.1.3 M4,1.7.3.4] D.1.4.a	Y IA	N	N/A	S	Codes	Comments							
D.1.3 M4,1.7.3.4] D.1.4.a	IA												
D.1.3 M4,1.7.3.4] D.1.4.a	IA												
M4,1.7.3.4] D.1.4.a				OTHER CRITERIA									
D.1.4.a					00d162								
					00d163								
-					00d164								
\ /-													
					00d165								
\ /=													
D.1.4.b.1					00d166								
D.1.4.b.1					0d14b1								
M4,1.7.3.5(a)]													
D.1.4.b.2					00d167								
M4,1.7.3.5(b)]													
D.1.4.b.3					00d167a								
M4,1.7.3.5(c)]													
					0D1101								
M4,1.7.3.6]													
7 1 5 h					004460								
J. 1.3.D					000109								
D.1.5.b					00d170								
D.1.6.a					00d173								
D.1.6.b					00d174								
	D.1.4.a 5.5.6.2.2.2 D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.2 M4,1.7.3.5(b)] D.1.5.a M4,1.7.3.6] D.1.5.b D.1.5.b D.1.5.b D.1.5.c	D.1.4.a 5.5.6.2.2.2 D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.2 M4,1.7.3.5(b)] D.1.4.b.3 M4,1.7.3.5(c)] D.1.5.a M4,1.7.3.6] D.1.5.b D.1.5.b D.1.5.b D.1.5.c	D.1.4.a 5.5.6.2.2.2 D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.2 M4,1.7.3.5(b)] D.1.4.b.3 M4,1.7.3.5(c)] D.1.5.a M4,1.7.3.6] D.1.5.b D.1.5.b D.1.5.b	D.1.4.a 5.5.6.2.2.2 D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.2 M4,1.7.3.5(b)] D.1.4.b.3 M4,1.7.3.5(c)] D.1.5.a M4,1.7.3.6] D.1.5.b D.1.5.b D.1.5.b	D.1.4.a 5.5.6.2.2.2 D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.1 M4,1.7.3.5(a)] D.1.4.b.2 M4,1.7.3.5(b)] D.1.4.b.3 M4,1.7.3.5(c)] D.1.5.a M4,1.7.3.6] D.1.5.b D.1.5.b D.1.5.b	0.1.4.a 00d163 5.5.6.2.2.2 0.1.4.b.1 0.1.4.b.1 00d165 0.1.4.b.1 00d165 0.1.4.b.1 00d166 0.1.4.b.1 0d14b1 0.1.4.b.2 0d14b1 0.1.4.b.3 0d167 0.1.4.b.3 0d167 0.1.5.a 0D1101 0.1.5.a 0D1101 0.1.5.b 00d169 0.1.5.b 00d170 0.1.5.b 00d171 0.1.5.c 00d172 0.1.6.a 00d173							

Relevant Aspect of Standards	NELAC Reference [2009]	Υ	N	N/A	s	Codes	Comments
Are all cleaning and storage procedures that are not specified by the method documented in laboratory records and SOPs?	D.1.6.b					00d175	